COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3700-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1537

Subject: Health Care; Health, Public; Nursing and Boarding Homes

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 14, 2006

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
General Revenue	(Less than \$404,165)	(Less than \$404,165)	(Less than \$404,165)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$404,165)	(Less than \$404,165)	(Less than \$404,165)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 3700-01 Bill No. HB 1537 Page 2 of 5 February 14, 2006

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health** assume this proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General (AGO)** state costs which may arise from this proposal are unknown. The AGO represents the Certificate of Need Board and, because of changes in definition, there may be an increase in litigation. AGO assumes costs are unknown but less than \$100,000.

Officials from the **Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee (MHFRC)** states new hospitals would be established in any location in the state without being reviewed for community need, financial feasibility, and duplication of services. MHFRC states there would also be no Certificate of Need review for the acquisition of major medical equipment.

MHFRC states for new or replacement major medical equipment and construction of new hospitals, the application fees fro FY 03, FY 04, and FY 05 totaled \$82,299, \$432,847, and \$385,348, respectively. MHFRC states all application fees go to General Revenue. MHFRC assumes there would be an average \$300,165 loss to General Revenue.

CM:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3700-01 Bill No. HB 1537 Page 3 of 5 February 14, 2006

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

MHFRC states §197.305(8) references two exceptions to long term care review. MHFRC states it is difficult to estimate the impact of the exception to exclude "facilities of not-for-profit corporations in existence on October 1, 1980" since MHFRC does not know the corporate status of such facilities.

MHFRC states the second exception in that section excludes "any residential care facility I or residential care facility II operated by a religious organization qualified pursuant to Section 501(c)(3) . . . which does not require the expenditure of public funds . . . with a total licensed bed capacity of one hundred beds or less." Based on previous experience with religious considerations, MHFRC has estimated that approximately 120 additional residential care facility I or II beds would be added to the statewide inventory annually. Since these types of applications would be exempt from Certificate of Need review, there would be a loss of about \$4,000 in application fees.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services (DOH)** state the effect of the removal of the certificate of need process for health care services and health care facilities is unknown. DOH states there is an indeterminate fiscal impact, which could result from additional costs or from cost savings due to future impact regarding the number of health care services or health care facilities requiring licensure, inspection and/or complaint investigations. Additionally, DOH states the effect of the two exclusions from certificate of need review is unknown. There is an indeterminate fiscal impact, which could result from additional costs or from cost savings due to future impact regarding the number of long-term care facilities established because of the exclusion requiring licensure, inspection and/or complaint investigations. DOH states costs could exceed \$100,000.

In similar notes from the previous sessions, DOH stated the proposal would not be expected to significantly impact the operations of the DOH. If the proposal were to substantially impact any DOH programs, the DOH would request funding through the legislative process.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DOS)** assume the cost impact would be to the hospital program and is unknown. Hospitals are paid on a per diem rate for each day that the recipient is in the hospital. A new facility is paid either the Medicare per diem rate or 90% of the weighted average statewide per diem rate for the first three years of operation. In the fourth year of operation they are given a prospective per diem rate based off of their fourth prior year cost report. With the addition of new hospitals, existing hospitals would lose patient days as individuals may go to the new hospital instead of the existing hospitals. The cost to the state could either be more or less depending on the rate the new hospital is receiving versus the rate the existing hospital is receiving. The cost increase for capital would not be reflected until the

CM:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 3700-01 Bill No. HB 1537 Page 4 of 5 February 14, 2006

ASSUMPTION (continued)

hospital receives their prospective per diem rate using their fourth prior year cost report which would not be expected to happen until FY 11.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
GENERAL REVENUE			
Revenues–Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee			
Reduction in application fees	(\$304,165)	(\$304,165)	(\$304,165)
<u>Costs</u> - Office of Attorney General Litigation costs	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE	(Less than \$404,165)	(Less than \$404,165)	(Less than \$404,165)
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2007 (10 Mo.)	FY 2008	FY 2009
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal defines "long-term care facilities" for purposes of the Certificate of Need Program and limits application of certificate of need requirements to long-term care facilities. Currently, certificate of need requirements apply to a broader range of health care facilities, including hospitals and long-term care facilities.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3700-01 Bill No. HB 1537 Page 5 of 5 February 14, 2006

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Health Facilities Review Committee Department of Health and Senior Services Department of Social Services Department of Mental Health Office of Attorney General

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

February 14, 2006